

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS Adeasadds, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspig.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | O. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO 7412 | |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/047,579 | 01/15/2002 | | Edward T. Huxel | 5000 508-8 | | |
| 21 (29 | 7590 | 12/01/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | BRITT & BROWN | BECKER, DREWE | | | |
| 1000 WALN SUITE 1400 | | ET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 64106-2140 | 1761 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | A.S | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| W 1977 3 | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | | |
| | 10/047,57 | 9 . | HUXEL, EDWARD | Э Т. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | | | |
| | Drew E Be | | 1761 | | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | .136(a). In no eve ply within the statu I will apply and will | nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days | ely filed s will be considered timely | y. ommunication. | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 s | <u>September 2</u> | <u>003</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | s action is no | n-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdre 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from con | · · | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac | cepted or b)[| \square objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | :xammer. No | te the attached Office | Action or torm P i | O-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | | d==051100 5440(=) |) (d) == (f) | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domests of the priority. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language prince was included in the first sentence of the priority. | nts have beer this have beer ority docume au (PCT Rule of the certific priority unirst sentence rovisional appetic priority un | n received. n received in Application the have been received at 17.2(a)). ied copies not received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or colication has been received at 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 | on No d in this National d. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since | application) Data Sheet. a specific | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | 4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because:

The disclaimer fee of \$110 in accordance with 37 CFR 1.20(d) has not been submitted, nor is there any authorization in the application file to charge a specified Deposit Account or credit card. Also, the terminal disclaimer should be sent as a separate paper.

Priority

2. This application lacks the necessary reference to the prior application. A statement reading "This is a continuation-in-part of Application No. 09/659,530, filed September 12, 2000, now Pat. No. 6,649,202." should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS of paper no. 2 is objected to since one of the "Other Documents",
 Bailey's Industrial Oil and Fat Products, does not include a publishing date.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Art Unit: 1761

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-15, 20-22, 26-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,649,202 in view of Miller et al [Pat. No. 5,431,945]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the fat types of Miller et al since these types of fats were commonly used in solidified form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being rejected by Miller et al [Pat. No. 5,431,945].

Miller et al teach a method of forming fat flakes by selecting a liquid mix comprising fat with a Solids Fat Index below the Agglomeration Boundary (column 6, lines 15-20 & 33-37), as defined by applicant on page 8, lines 13-17 of the specification, dispensing a layer of the liquid mix onto a horizontal flat work surface (column 6, lines 52-54), and

Art Unit: 1761

adjusting the temperature of the work surface to allow the liquid mixture to solidify (column 6, lines 55-64).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed September 10, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., dispensing a preexisting solid in claim 7) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1761

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 703-305-0300. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

Drew E Becke Examiner Art Unit 1761